

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/056,019	TUOMANEN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	N. M. Minnifield	1645	

All Participants:

(1) N. M. Minnifield.

(2) Kelly J. Williamson, 47179.

Date of Interview: 13 September 2004

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
generally all

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.


☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____


N. M. MINNIFIELD
PRIMARY EXAMINER

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner requested approval to amend claims 45, 69-72, 74, 75, 81, 87 and 93 as set forth in the attached Examiner's amendment to place the application in condition for allowance. All prior art rejections have been withdrawn in view of Applicants' amendment to the claims and/or comments filed July 29, 2004 and in view of the attached Examiner's amendment. Claims 46-63, 66-72, 74-80, 80-83, 87-89, 92-95, 98 and 111-122 have been allowed and renumbered 1-54 respectively.